

Field Strength Measurements of DGPS and FAA Beacons in the 285- to 325-kHz Band

**J.R. Hoffman
J.J. Lemmon
R.L. Ketchum**



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
William M. Daley, Secretary**

Larry Irving, Assistant Secretary
for Communications and Information

June 1997

PRODUCT DISCLAIMER

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this paper to specify adequately the technical aspects of the reported results. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, nor does it imply that the material or equipment identified is the best available for the purpose.

All Maps in this report were produced with MapExpertTM software from DeLorne Mapping.

CONTENTS

	Page
PRODUCT DISCLAIMER	iii
FIGURES	vii
TABLES	xi
ABSTRACT	1
1. PURPOSE	1
2. STRATEGY	1
3. MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	5
4. MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	8
5. DATA PROCESSING	11
6. ANALYSIS	11
7. MEASUREMENT RESULTS	12
8. COMPARISON OF MEASUREMENTS WITH MODELS	15
9. CONCLUSIONS	17
10. ACKNOWLEDGMENT	39
11. REFERENCES	39
APPENDIX A: SIGNAL FIELD STRENGTH COMPUTATIONS	41
APPENDIX B: NOISE FIGURE AND SENSITIVITY COMPUTATIONS	43
APPENDIX C: CORRECTION FACTORS FOR THE EATON 94592-1 ANTENNA	45
APPENDIX D: COMPUTATION OF EXPECTED ELECTRIC FIELD STRENGTH	47

FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Transmitter at Aransas Pass, Texas	3
Figure 2. Measurement route at Aransas Pass, Texas	3
Figure 3. Measurement route between Aransas Pass, Texas and Mobile Point, Alabama	4
Figure 4. Transmitter at Mobile Point, Alabama	4
Figure 5. Measurement route at Mobile Point, Alabama	5
Figure 6. Measurement routes for Cape Mendocino, California; Point Blunt, California; and Pigeon Point, California	6
Figure 7. Measurement route at Fort Stevens, Oregon	7
Figure 8. Measurement route for the FAA beacon	7
Figure 9. Block diagram of the measurement system	8
Figure 10. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Aransas Pass, Texas	18
Figure 11. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Galveston, Texas	18
Figure 12. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at English Turn, Louisiana	19
Figure 13. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Mobile Point, Alabama	19
Figure 14. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Pigeon Point, California - daytime measurements	20
Figure 15. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Pigeon Point, California - nighttime measurements	20
Figure 16. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Point Blunt, California - daytime measurements	21

FIGURES (Cont'd)

	Page
Figure 17. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Point Blunt, California - nighttime measurements	21
Figure 18. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Cape Mendocino, California - moving north toward the transmitter	22
Figure 19. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Cape Mendocino, California - moving north away from the transmitter	22
Figure 20. Signal strength vs. distance for the beacon at Fort Stevens, Oregon.	23
Figure 21. Signal strength vs distance for the Bennett FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado - en route to Cheyenne, Wyoming	23
Figure 22. Signal strength vs. distance for the Bennett FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado - en route to Grand Junction, Colorado	24
Figure 23. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Aransas Pass, Texas	25
Figure 24. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Aransas Pass, Texas	25
Figure 25. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Galveston, Texas	26
Figure 26. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Galveston, Texas	26
Figure 27. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for English Turn, Louisiana	27
Figure 28. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for English Turn, Louisiana	27
Figure 29. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Mobile Point, Alabama	28

FIGURES (Cont'd)

	Page
Figure 30. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Mobile Point, Alabama	28
Figure 31. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Pigeon Point, California - nighttime acquisition	29
Figure 32. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Pigeon Point, California - nighttime acquisition	29
Figure 33. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Point Blunt, California - nighttime acquisition	30
Figure 34. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Point Blunt, California - nighttime acquisition	30
Figure 35. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Cape Mendocino, California moving north toward the transmitter	31
Figure 36. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Cape Mendocino, California moving north toward the transmitter	31
Figure 37. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Cape Mendocino, California moving north away from the transmitter	32
Figure 38. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Cape Mendocino, California moving north away from the transmitter	32
Figure 39. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for Fort Stevens, Oregon	33
Figure 40. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for Fort Stevens, Oregon	33
Figure 41. Cumulative distribution of deviation from the least squares fit for the FAA beacon en route to Cheyenne, Wyoming	34
Figure 42. Histogram of deviation from the least squares fit for the FAA beacon en route to Cheyenne, Wyoming	34
Figure 43. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance for Aransas Pass, Texas - smooth earth	35

FIGURES (Cont'd)

	Page
Figure 44. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance for Galveston, Texas - smooth earth	35
Figure 45. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance for English Turn, Louisiana - smooth earth	36
Figure 46. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance for Mobile Point, Alabama - smooth earth	36
Figure 47. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance going west from the FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado - smooth earth . . .	37
Figure 48. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance going west from the FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado- irregular terrain . .	37
Figure 49. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance going north from the FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado - smooth earth . .	38
Figure 50. Comparison of measured and predicted field strength vs. distance going north from the FAA beacon at Bennett, Colorado - irregular terrain .	38
Figure D-1. Signal radiating isotropically into a hemisphere	47

TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Beacon Characteristics	2
Table 2. Measurement Procedures for Noise and DGPS Signals	10
Table 2. Y-intercept and Slope of Least Squares Fit	13
Table 3. Error Bounds on the Sample Cumulative Distributions	14
Table 4. Model Input Parameters	17
Table C-1. Antenna Correction Factors	45

